

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Alexandria Division**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cr-00143  
The Honorable Judge Ellis

Pretrial conference: May 7, 2021  
Trial: July 12, 2021

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL EXHIBIT 1 OF  
DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE RULE 12.2(B)  
NOTICE OF EXPERT EVIDENCE OF A MENTAL CONDITION BEARING ON LACK  
OF GUILT (ECF 299)**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Zackary Ellis Sanders, by counsel, moves this Honorable Court, pursuant to Local Crim. R. 49, for entry of an Order permitting Exhibit 1 of his Supplement To Motion For Leave To File Rule 12.2(B) Notice Of Expert Evidence Of A Mental Condition Bearing On Lack Of Guilt (ECF 299) to be filed under seal. Sealing is necessary because it contains private medical information.

**II. ARGUMENT**

Defendant's Exhibit 1 of his Supplement To Motion For Leave To File Rule 12.2(B) Notice Of Expert Evidence Of A Mental Condition Bearing On Lack Of Guilt (ECF 299) should be sealed because it contains confidential and medical information that the public would not, under any other circumstances, be entitled to see. It is true that judicial proceedings are generally open to the public and that there exists, while not a First Amendment right, a common law right of public access to judicial records and documents. *Media Gen. Operations, Inc. v. Buchanan*, 417 F.3d 424, 429 (4th Cir. 2005) (citing *Balt. Sun Co. v. Goetz*, 886 F.2d 60, 64-65 (4th Cir. 1989)). The presumption of the right of access can be rebutted if countervailing interests heavily outweigh the

public interests in access. *Virginia Dep't of State Police v. Washington Post*, 386 F.3d 567, 575 (4th Cir. 2004). The party seeking to overcome the presumption bears the burden of showing some significant interest that outweighs the presumption. *Id.* at 575. Ultimately the decision to seal is a matter best left to the sound discretion of the district court. *Washington Post*, 386 F.3d at 575. With respect to medical records in particular, the Eastern District of Virginia has previously held that such records are entitled to privacy protection and may be filed under seal. *James v. Service Source, Inc.*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 86169 at \*12 (Nov. 21, 2007 E.D. Va.).

Finally, sealing, as opposed to redaction, is necessary to protect the confidential information contained throughout the entirety of the Exhibit, there is no readability available way to redact this information without revealing confidential information. As such the entirety of Exhibit 1 ought to be placed under seal.

### **III. CONCLUSION**

Defendant respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order permitting Exhibit 1 of his Supplement To Motion For Leave To File Rule 12.2(B) Notice Of Expert Evidence Of A Mental Condition Bearing On Lack Of Guilt (ECF 299) to be filed under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS  
By Counsel

/s/  
Nina J. Ginsberg (#19472)  
Zachary Deubler (#90669)  
DiMuroGinsberg, P.C.  
1101 King Street, Suite 610  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Telephone: (703) 684-4333  
Facsimile: (703) 548-3181  
Email: [nginsberg@dimuro.com](mailto:nginsberg@dimuro.com)  
Email: [zdeubler@dimuro.com](mailto:zdeubler@dimuro.com)

/s/

---

Jonathan Jeffress (#42884)  
Jade Chong-Smith (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
KaiserDillon PLLC  
1099 Fourteenth St., N.W.; 8th Floor—West  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 683-6150  
Facsimile: (202) 280-1034  
Email: [jjeffress@kaiserdillon.com](mailto:jjeffress@kaiserdillon.com)  
Email: [jchong-smith@kaiserdillon.com](mailto:jchong-smith@kaiserdillon.com)

*Counsel for Defendant Zackary Ellis Sanders*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 6<sup>th</sup> day of May 2021, the foregoing was served electronically on counsel of record through the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia Electronic Document Filing System (ECF) and the document is available on the ECF system.

/s/

---

Nina Ginsberg